

# **Instructions for Primary Screen Report**

#### Screen Documentation

Please use the accompanying Metadata Template (Microsoft Excel) to document your screen, with particular attention to the items below. Do not delete any of the fields from Column A. Examples have been included in the template to provide guidance.

- a. PMID/PMCID identifiers for any published references directly pertaining to your screen (e.g., assay or reagent preparation protocols, and reagents such as purified protein, cell lines, and bacterial or viral strains).
- b. For small molecule screens designed to identify chemicals interacting with a protein target, such as enzyme inhibitors, please include the nucleotide or protein GI identifier of the target molecule.
- c. Biosource Taxon ID is indicated with as much specificity as possible.
- d. The exact assay protocol for your screen, including all experimental details (i.e., reagent names, cell line information, buffer composition and volumes, incubation times, equipment used). If you performed a counterscreen assay as part of your primary screen, please also include that protocol information at the same level of detail.
- e. Description of your positive and negative controls, and their location on your assay plates.
- f. Description of how you analyzed your data and how you identified your screening positives, including how the results were calculated and how to interpret them. For example, if you defined your screening positives as strong, medium, and weak using cut-off ranges, please give the signal ranges. It is important that the description of your data analysis and definition of positives is as specific and clear as possible.
- g. If your data will be deposited into PubChem, you must include your definition of Activity Score.

#### Annotated Screening Data

Please submit appropriately annotated data for all compounds screened, including designation of screening positives. If your data will be deposited into PubChem, your annotated dataset must include an Activity Score for each well screened.

#### Contact Information

The metadata file, the annotated data file, and any questions regarding their preparation should be sent to:

**David Wrobel** 

Seeley Mudd Building, Room 604, Harvard Medical School, 250 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115 david\_wrobel@hms.harvard.edu 617-432-6266 (phone)

617-432-6424 (fax)

Vou must begin depositing enprenrie

You must begin depositing appropriately annotated primary screen data within 3 months of starting to screen ICCB-L library plates.

Field name	Category name			Additional information regarding this field
Screen Number	Screen Number	704		
Last Name		Walker	Swoboda	
First Name		Suzanne	Jonathan	
Institution		Harvard Medical School	Harvard Medical School	
Address	Contact	Dept. of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, 200 Longwood Avenue	Dept. of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, 200 Longwood Avenue	
City		Boston	Boston	
Country		USA	USA	
Email		suzanne_walker@hms.harvard.edu		
Screen Title		A screen for compounds that inhibit cell wall-associated teichoic acid synthesis in Staphylococcus aureus.		
Screen Type		Small molecule		
Target Process		Teichoic acid biosynthesis in Staphylococcus aureus		
Abstract	Screen Description	Both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria contain bactoprenol-dependent biosynthetic pathways expressing nonessential cell surface polysaccharides that function as virulence factors. Although these polymers are not required for bacterial viability in vitro, genes in many of the biosynthetic pathways are conditionally essential: they cannot be deleted except in strains incapable of initiating polymer synthesis. We report a cell-based, pathway-specific screen for small molecule inhibitors of conditionally essential enzymes involved in wall teichoic acid (WTA) biosynthesis in Staphylococcus aureus. WTAs are anionic cell surface polysaccharides required for host colonization that have been suggested as targets for new antimicrobials. We identified a small molecule, 7-chloro-N,N-diethyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)-[1,2,3]triazolo[1,5-a]quinolin-5-amine, that inhibits the growth of a panel of S. aureus strains (MIC = 1-3 microg mL(-1)), including clinical methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) isolates. Subsequent biochemical and genetic studies identified the molecular target as TarG, the transmembrane component of the ABC		

	=			
PMID		PMID: 19689117		Please provide PMID numbers for any publications directly relevant to your assay protocol or screen project (e.g. publication of your assay protocol, or publication of your primary or secondary screen results). Note that at the time of first submission of primary screen data, many screen projects will have no directly relevant publications to list in this field.
PMCID		PMC2787957		Please provide PMCID numbers (if available) for any publications listed in the preceding row.
Biosource Name		Staphylococcus aureus RN4220	Staphylococcus aureus RN4220 AtarO	The term Biosource refers to any biological organism or product used in your screen, including purified proteins. If your screen used more than one Biosource (e.g., a virus and a mammalian cell line), please document each Biosource using a separate column.
Biosource Provider		Jonathan Swoboda	Jonathan Swoboda	
Biosource Provider ID(s		RN4220	RN4220 ∆tarO	
Biosource Contact		suzanne_walker@hms.harvard.edu	suzanne_walker@hms.harvard.edu	
Biosource Reference(s)	Biosource	PMID: 6226876	PMID: 16547033	Please provide PMID or PMCID numbers for any publications describing the biosources used in your screen (e.g., construction of reporter fusion, development of purification protocol). For small molecule screens designed to identify chemicals interacting with a protein target, such as enzyme inhibitors, please include the NCBI nucleotide or protein GI identifier of the target molecule. For more information on GI identifiers, please see http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Sitemap/sequ enceIDs.html.
Biosource Genus/Species		Staphylococcus aureus	Staphylococcus aureus	
Biosource Taxon ID		Taxon ID: 561307		Use the NCBI Taxonomy Browser (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/taxonomy) to derive the Taxonomy ID for all biosources listed previously. Please be as specific to species (and strain, if available) as possible. Note that the Taxon ID for humans ( <i>Homo sapiens</i> ) is 9606.
Biosource Tissue Biosource Modifications, Genotype, or Genetic Characteristics		Plasmid pMS182 introduced by electroporation into RN4220	Plasmid pMS183 introduced by electroporation into RN4220 ∆tarO	
Library Type		Small molecule		
		Small molecule Single		

orary	1			
	<del>-</del>			
	Staphylococcus aureus			
	aureus RN4220 was freshly transformed with the plasmid pMS182 encoding constitutively expressed GFP and a ΔtarO strain of RN4220 was transformed with the plasmid pMS183 encoding constitutively expressed mCherry. Following transformation, both plasmids were maintained with chloramphenicol (Cm) at a concentration of 10 μg/mL. The day before screening, both strains were grown overnight (~24 h) in sterile-filtered tryptic soy broth (TSB) with 10 μg/mL chloramphenicol at 30 °C with			
ssay Protocol	assay plates (Corning 3710) were prefilled with 40 uL of TSB. Next, 300 nL of each compound were pin-transferred to each plate. For every compound library plate, there were four daughter plates (A & B containing mCherry-labeled AtarO and C & D containing GFP-labeled wildtype). To equilibrate the number of cells, the overnight cultures of RN4220 and RN4220 AtarO were diluted to an OD600 = 2 and then diluted 1000x into TSB (Cm 10). 40 uL of the diluted cultures were added to columns 1-22 of the corresponding assay plates. Wells in column 23 contained only medium and served as the negative controls. Column 24 was filled with the positive control (erythromycin at 20 ug/mL). Final assay well volume was 80 uL. Plates were stacked 5 high, covered with lids (Corning 3009), and incubated at 30 °C overnight (~18 h).			
	read using a PerkinElmer EnVision (600			
	Pin Transfer			
	stainless steel pin array from library plate			
	300 nL			
	80 uL			
	18.75 ug/ml			
	ay Protocol	Prior to screening, a wildtype strain of S. aureus RN4220 was freshly transformed with the plasmid pMS182 encoding constitutively expressed GFP and a ΔtarO strain of RN4220 was transformed with the plasmid pMS183 encoding constitutively expressed mCherry. Following transformation, both plasmids were maintained with chloramphenicol (Cm) at a concentration of 10 μg/mL. The day before screening, both strains were grown overnight (~24 h) in sterile-filtered tryptic soy broth (TSB) with 10 μg/mL chloramphenicol at 30 °C with shaking.  On the day of screening, columns 1-23 of assay plates (Corning 3710) were prefilled with 40 uL of TSB. Next, 300 nL of each compound were pin-transferred to each plate. For every compound library plate, there were four daughter plates (A & B containing GFP-labeled wildtype). To equilibrate the number of cells, the overnight cultures of RN4220 and RN4220 ΔtarO were diluted to an OD600 = 2 and then diluted to an OD600 = 2 and then diluted to an OD600 = 2 and then diluted to modum 23 contained only medium and served as the negative controls. Column 24 was filled with the positive control (erythromycin at 20 ug/mL). Final assay well volume was 80 uL. Plates were stacked 5 high, covered with lids (Corning 3009), and incubated at 30 °C overnight (~18 h).  The following day, assay plates were read using a PerkinElmer EnVision (600 nm filter).  Pin Transfer 300 nL of compounds transferred by	Staphylococcus aureus  Prior to screening, a wildtype strain of S. aureus RN4220 was freshly transformed with the plasmid pMS182 encoding constitutively expressed GFP and a ΔtarO strain of RN4220 was transformed with the plasmid pMS183 encoding constitutively expressed mCherry. Following transformation, both plasmids were maintained with chloramphenicol (Cm) at a concentration of 10 μg/mL. The day before screening, both strains were grown overnight (~24 h) in sterile-filtered tryptic soy broth (TSB) with 10 μg/mL chloramphenicol at 30 °C with shaking.  On the day of screening, columns 1-23 of assay plates (Corning 3710) were prefilted with 40 Lu for TSB. Next, 300 nL of each compound were pin-transferred to each plate. For every compound library plate, there were four daughter plates (A & B containing mCherry-labeled AtarO and C & D containing GFP-labeled wildtype). To equilibrate the number of cells, the overnight cultures of RN4220 and RN4220 AtarO were diluted to an OD600 = 2 and then diluted 1000x into TSB (Cm 10). 40 uL of the diluted cultures were added to columns 1-22 of the corresponding assay plates. Wells in column 23 contained only medium and served as the negative controls. Column 24 was filled with the positive control (erythromycin at 20 ug/mL). Final assay well volume was 80 uL. Plates were stacked 5 high, covered with lids (Corning 3009), and incubated at 30 °C overnight (~18 h).  The following day, assay plates were read using a PerkinElmer EnVision (600 nm filter).  Pin Transfer 300 nL of compounds transferred by stainless steel pin array from library plate to each assay plate	Prior to screening, a wildtype strain of S. aureus RN4220 was freshly transformed with the plasmid pMS182 encoding constitutively expressed GPP and a Man of Strain of RN4220 strain of Stra

Number of Replicates	1	2			
Number of Replicates	-	2			
Description of Replicates		Library plates were screened in duplicate, with both assay plates in a given set prepared on the same day. For every compound library plate, there were four daughter plates (A & B containing mCherry-labeled \(^1\)tarO and C & D containing GFP-labeled wildtype).			
Control Name		Positive	Negative		
Control Description		Erythromycin (20 ug/mL) in all wells of columns 24	Growth medium only in all wells of column 23		
Instrument Name		Matrix WellMate	Epson	EnVision	
Instrument Model				2102 and 2103	
Instrument Vendor		Matrix Technologies (Thermo Fisher)	Epson	PerkinElmer	
Instrument Settings			300 nL	Photometric 600 filter (600 nm, 8 nm bandpass)	
Readout Type		Photometry		·	
Raw Data Type		Numeric			
Raw Data Format		CSV			
Data Analysis Methods	Data Documentation	OD600 values were normalized to the positive and negative controls to determine a normalized percent survival. Based on the normalized OD600 values, a substance was considered active with >50% survival for the ∆tarO strain and <10% survival for the wild type strain. PubChem activity scores were calculated using average % survival for each strain. Average % survival ≤ 0 was scored as 100 for activity; average % survival ≥ 100 was scored as 0 for activity. Average % survival between 0 and 100 was subtracted from 100 to generate activity scores for intermediate values (i.e. average % survival = 40 corresponds to an activity score of 60).			
Software		Excel			
Software Manufacturer		Microsoft			
Processed Data Type		Numeric			
Additional Comments					Please provide any additional comments regarding your screen that may not have been adequately captured elsewhere in the metadata template (e.g., problems that may have affected data quality)

				Additional information regarding this
Field name	Category name			field
Screen Number	Screen Number	729		
Last Name		Howley	Smith	
First Name		Peter	Jennifer	
Institution		Harvard Medical School	Harvard Medical School	
		77.4	77 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Rm	
Address	Contact	77 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Rm 950a	950a	
City		Boston	Boston	
Country		USA	USA	
F:I				
Email		peter_howley@hms.harvard.edu  An siRNA screen for human genes that are	jennifer_smith@hms.harvard.edu	
		_		
C Titl-		involved in human papilloma virus (HPV)		
Screen Title		transcriptional repression.		
Screen Type		siRNA		
Taugat Duagaga		E2-dependent regulation of human		
Target Process		papillomavirus gene expression		
		A		
1		An essential step in the pathogenesis of		
		human papillomavirus (HPV)-associated		
		cancers is the dysregulated expression of		
		the viral oncogenes. The papillomavirus E2		
		protein can silence the long control region		
		(LCR) promoter that controls viral E6 and		
		E7 oncogene expression. The mechanisms		
		by which E2 represses oncogene		
		expression and the cellular factors through		
		which E2 mediates this silencing are		
		largely unknown. We conducted an		
		unbiased, genome-wide siRNA screen and		
		series of secondary screens that identified		
		96 cellular genes that contribute to the		
		repression of the HPV LCR. In addition to		
		confirming a role for the E2-binding		
	Screen Description	bromodomain protein Brd4 in E2-mediated		
	Sercen Description	silencing, we identified a number of genes		
		that have not previously been implicated		
		in E2 repression, including the		
		demethylase JARID1C/SMCX as well as		
		EP400, a component of the NuA4/TIP60		
		histone acetyltransferase complex. Each of		
		these genes contributes independently and		
		additively to E2-mediated silencing,		
		indicating that E2 functions through		
		several distinct cellular complexes to		
Abstract		repress E6 and E7 expression.		
		Luciferase readout to quantitate		
		expression of an E2-repressible reporter in		
		which luciferase expression is controlled by	1	
Measurement Metho	d	the HPV18 LCR.		 
				 Please provide PMID numbers for any
				publications reporting results from your
				screen (e.g., publication of primary or
				secondary results, publication of assay
PMID		20133580		protocol separately from screen results).
				Please provide PMCID numbers (if available)
1				for any publications listed in the preceding
PMCID		PMC2840515		row.
TRICID		I MCZOTOJIJ		I OVV.

	T			T
				The term Biosource refers to any biological
				organism or product used in your screen,
				including purified proteins. If your screen
				used more than one Biosource (e.g., a virus
				and a mammalian cell line), please
				document each Biosource using a separate
Biosource Name		C33A/BE2/18LCR c4		column.
Biosource Provider		Jennifer Smith		
Biosource Provider ID(s		C33A/BE2/18LCR c4		
Biosource Contact	1	peter_howley@hms.harvard.edu		
Biosource contact		peter_nowiey@mns.narvara.eaa		Please provide PMID or PMCID numbers for
				any publications describing the biosources
1				used in your screen (e.g., construction of
Biosource				reporter fusion, development of purification
Reference(s)		PMC2840515		protocol).
Biosource				
Genus/Species	Biosource	Homo sapiens		
	2.0000.00			
				Use the NCBI Taxonomy Browser
				(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/taxonomy) to
				derive the Taxonomy ID for all biosources
				listed previously. Please be as specific to
				species (and strain, if available) as possible.
				Note that the Taxon ID for humans ( <i>Homo</i>
Biosource Taxon ID		Taxon ID: 9606		sapiens) is 9606.
Biosource Tissue	-	Cervix		Sapiens) is 9000.
biosource rissue		Cervix		
		C33A cells engineered to stably express		
		FLAG-HA-tagged bovine PV1 (BPV1) E2		
<b>L</b> .		from a bicistronic mRNA that also encodes		
Biosource		the IL2 receptor $\alpha$ subunit (IL2R $\alpha$ ). These		
Modifications,		cells also contain an E2-repressible		
Genotype, or Genetic		reporter in which luciferase expression is		
Characteristics		controlled by the HPV18 LCR.		
Library Type		siRNA		
Library Format				
(single/pool)		Pool		
Number of	Library			
Perturbators per Well	,	4		
Perturbator Target				
Genus/Species		Homo sapiens		
==::30, 0 p 0 0:00		C33/BE2/18LCR c4 cells were maintained		
		as subconfluent monolayers in high-		
		glucose DMEM with 10% FBS, 50 units/mL		
		penicillin G, 50 ug/mL		
		streptomycin sulfate, and 0.75 ug/mL		
Pre-perturbation		puromycin. Cells were depleted of		
Condition Description	J	antibiotics 1 day prior to transfection.		

	1		T		
		On the day of transfection, siRNA buffer			
		(1x; Dharmacon) was aliquoted into wells,			
		siRNA was added so that the final			
		concentration was 40 nM/well, and			
		DharmaFECT2/OptiMEM was dispensed			
		into wells. While the siRNA/lipid was			
		allowed to complex, cells were trypsinized,			
		counted, and resuspended to reach a			
		plating density of 7 x 10E3 cells/well.			
		Cells were seeded on top of the siRNA/lipid			
Perturbation Condition		mixture, briefly centrifuged, and incubated			
Description		at 37 C for 72 h.			
		At 72 h post-transfection, Steady Glo			
		reagent (Promega) was added to each well			
Post-perturbation		and luciferase units/well measured using			
		,			
Condition Description	-	an EnVision plate reader.		<del>-</del>	
Perturbator Delivery					
Method Name		Transfection			
		siRNA buffer (1x; Dharmacon) was			
		aliquoted into wells, siRNA was added so			
		that the final concentration was 40			
	Assay Protocol	nM/well, and DharmaFECT2/OptiMEM was			
	,	dispensed into wells. While the siRNA/lipid			
		was allowed to complex, cells were			
		trypsinized, counted, and resuspended to			
		reach a plating density of 7 x 10E3			
		cells/well. Cells were seeded on top of the			
Perturbator Delivery		siRNA/lipid mixture, briefly centrifuged,			
Method Description		and incubated at 37 C for 72 h.			
Amount of Perturbator					
Delivered		2 x 10E-12 mole			
Final Assay Volume		50 uL			
Final Perturbator					
Concentration		40 nM			
Number of Replicates		3		+	
Number of Replicates		3			
December 6		Library plates were screened in triplicate,			
Description of		which all three assay plates in a given set			
Replicates		prepared on the same day.	ļ.,		
Control Name		Positive	Negative	Other	
		BPV E2 (BE2#3) siRNA added to some	USP15 siRNA added to some	G418 added to two wells in	
Control Description		wells in column 22	wells in column 22	column 22 to induce cell death	
Instrument Name	]	Matrix WellMate	Velocity11 Bravo	EnVision	
Instrument Model	1			2102 and 2103	
Instrument Vendor	1	Matrix Technologies (Thermo Fisher)	Velocity11	PerkinElmer	
	1	services gree (e	,	Crosstalk-corrected	
				luminescence, luminescence	
				•	
				aperture 9, measurement	
				height 0 mm, measurement	
Instrument Settings				time 0.1 s	
Readout Type		Luminescence			
Raw Data Type		Numeric			
Raw Data Format		CSV			
	-				

	Data Documentation	The mean and SD for each plate, minus wells with no siRNA, positive control siRNA, or G418, were calculated. The z-score [z = (x - m)/s] was calculated for each SMARTpool on the plate, where x is the raw score to be standardized, m is the mean of the plate, and s is the standard deviation of the plate. The z-scores from the three replicates for each SMARTpool were averaged and the SD determined. A SMARTpool was considered a positive if the average z-score was ≥ 2. Weak positives were defined as having an average z-score ≥ 2 and < 3. Medium positives were defined as having an average z-score ≥ 3 and < 5. Strong positives were defined as having an		
Data Analysis Methods		average z-score ≥ 5.		
Software		Excel		
Software Manufacturer		Microsoft		
Processed Data Type		Numeric		
				Please provide any additional comments regarding your screen that may not have been adequately captured elsewhere in the metadata template (e.g., problems that may
Additional Comments				have affected data quality)